UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

United States	of America,)	Case No. <u>24-CK-0486</u> - AMO
v.	Plaintiff,)))	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
Kenneth Br	own Defendant(s).)	
Trial Act from	the best interest of the publ	- 24 ic and the	21-24, the court excludes time under the Speedy and finds that the ends of justice served by the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.Q. § Continuance on the following factor(s):
Failure See 18	to grant a continuance would U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	ld be like	ly to result in a miscarriage of Fustike, J.S. DISTRICT COURT
defenda or law,	ants, the nature of the that it is unreasonable to ex	prosecut pect adeq	[check applicable reasons] the number of ion, or the existence of novel questions of fact uate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial is section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
Failure taking i	to grant a continuance would not account the exercise of o	ld deny th due dilige	e defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, ence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
counsel	to grant a continuance would 's other scheduled case comu. U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	d unreasonmitments	onably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given a taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
necessa	to grant a continuance woul ry for effective preparation, U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	d unreaso taking in	anably deny the defendant the reasonable time to account the exercise of due diligence.
disposit paragraj the time extendir	With the consent of the defendant, and taking into account the public interest in the prompt disposition of criminal cases, the court sets the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in the first paragraph and — based on the parties' showing of good cause — finds good cause for extending the time limits for a preliminary hearing under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for extending the 30-day time period for an indictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the exclusions set forth above). See Fed. R. Crim. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).		
IT IS SO ORD	DERED.		DONNA M. RYU United States Magistrate Judge
STIPULATED:	Attorney for Defendant		Sastant Uprted States Attorney